ON A ZERO OF A CONTINUOUS FUNCTION

BRANKO ĆURGUS

In this note a and b are real numbers and a < b.

Theorem. Let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function on [a,b]. If f(a) > 0 and f(b) < 0, then there exists $c \in [a,b]$ such that f(c) = 0.

Proof. Assume f(a) > 0 and f(b) < 0.

Step 1. Set

 $W = \{ x \in [a, b] : f(x) > 0 \}.$

Clearly $a \in W$, $b \notin W$ and $W \subset [a, b]$. Therefore, $c = \sup W$ exists by the Completeness Axiom. Since $a \in W$ and b is an upper bound for W we have $c \in [a, b]$.

Step 2. Here we show that W does not have a maximum. Let $v \in W$ be arbitrary. Then v < b and f(v) > 0. Set $\epsilon_1 = f(v)/2$. Since $\epsilon_1 > 0$ and f is continuous at v there exists $\delta_1 = \delta_v(\epsilon_1) > 0$ such that

(1)
$$x \in [a,b] \cap (v-\delta_1, v+\delta_1) \Rightarrow f(v) - \epsilon_1 < f(x) < f(v) + \epsilon_1.$$

Set $\mu = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta_1, b - v\}$. Then $\mu > 0$ and $v + \mu < b$ and $v + \mu < v + \delta_1$. It follows from (1) that $f(v + \mu) > f(v) - \epsilon_1 = f(y)/2 > 0$. Thus $v + \mu \in W$. Since $v + \mu > v$, we proved that v is not a maximum of W.

Step 3. Since W does not have a maximum, $c \notin W$. Since $c \in [a, b]$ and $c \notin W$ we conclude that $f(c) \leq 0$.

Step 4. Here we show that $f(c) \ge 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since f is continuous at c, there exists $\delta_c(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

(2)
$$x \in [a,b] \cap (c - \delta_c(\epsilon), c + \delta_c(\epsilon)) \Rightarrow f(c) - \epsilon < f(x) < f(c) + \epsilon.$$

Since $c = \sup W$ and $\delta_c(\epsilon) > 0$ there exists $w \in W$ such that

$$c - \delta_c(\epsilon) < w < c.$$

Now (2) and f(w) > 0 yield $0 < f(w) < f(c) + \epsilon$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we proved that $f(c) > -\epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Consequently $f(c) \ge 0$.

Step 5. In Step 3 we proved $f(c) \le 0$. In Step 4 we proved $f(c) \ge 0$. Thus f(c) = 0. This completes the proof.

Date: December 8, 2009 at 11:23, File: ContZeroHO.tex.