# VECTOR SPACES

### BRANKO ĆURGUS

# 1. Axioms

**Definition 1.1.** A subset  $\mathbb{F}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  is called a *scalar field* if the following five statements hold.

**SF1** 0, 1  $\in$  F. **SF2** If  $\alpha, \beta \in$  F, then  $\alpha + \beta \in$  F and  $\alpha\beta \in$  F. **SF3** If  $\alpha \in$  F, then  $-\alpha \in$  F. **SF4** If  $\alpha \in$  F and  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in$  F. **SF5** If  $\alpha \in$  F, then  $\overline{\alpha} \in$  F.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a set and let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a scalar field. The set  $\mathcal{V}$  is called a *vector space over*  $\mathbb{F}$  if the following ten conditions are satisfied.

**AE** There exists a function + :  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ .

(The mapping in **AE** is called *addition* and its value on a pair  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$  is denoted by u + v.)

**AA** For all  $u, v, w \in \mathcal{V}$  we have u + (v + w) = (u + v) + w.

**AC** For all  $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$  we have u + v = v + u.

**AZ** There exists an element  $0_{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $v + 0_{\mathcal{V}} = v$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ .

**AO** For each  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  there exists  $w \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $v + w = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$ .

**SE** There exists a function  $\cdot : \mathbb{F} \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ .

(The mapping in **SE** is called *scaling* and its value on a pair  $(\alpha, v) \in \mathbb{F} \times \mathcal{V}$  is denoted by  $\alpha \cdot v$ , or simply  $\alpha v$ .)

**SA** For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$  and all  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  we have  $\alpha(\beta v) = (\alpha \beta)v$ .

**SD** For all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  and all  $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$  we have  $\alpha(u+v) = \alpha u + \alpha v$ .

- **SD** For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$  and all  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  we have  $(\alpha + \beta)v = \alpha v + \beta v$ .
- **SO** For all  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  we have 1v = v.

### 2. Basic propositions

Few immediate consequences of Definitions 1.2 and 1.1 are collected in the following propositions.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then for every  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  we have  $0v = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$ .

Date: February 3, 2015 at21:36.

*Proof.* Let  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  be arbitrary. Then by **SE** we have that  $0v \in \mathcal{V}$ . By **AO** there exists  $w \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $0v + w = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$ . Then

$0_{\mathcal{V}} = 0v + w$	by the choice of $w$
= (0+0)v + w	since $0 + 0 = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and
= (0v + 0v) + w	by $\mathbf{SD}$
= 0v + (0v + w)	by $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}$
$= 0v + 0_{\mathcal{V}}$	by the choice of $w$
= 0v	by $\mathbf{AZ}$ .

The presented sequence of equalities proves the proposition.

The proof of the next proposition is similar.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  we have  $\alpha 0_{\mathcal{V}} = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . For every  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  the equation  $v + x = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$  has a unique solution.

*Proof.* Let  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  be arbitrary. Assume that  $u, w \in \mathcal{V}$  are such that  $v + u = v + w = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$ . Then

$u = u + 0_{\mathcal{V}}$	by $\mathbf{AZ}$
= u + (v + w)	by the assumption and
= (u+v)+w	by $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}$
= (v+u) + w	by $\mathbf{AC}$ and
$= 0_{\mathcal{V}} + w$	by the assumption and
$= w + 0_{\mathcal{V}}$	by $\mathbf{AC}$
= w	by $\mathbf{AZ}$ .

The presented sequence of equalities proves the proposition.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . The unique solution of equation  $v + x = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$  is denoted by -v and it is called the *opposite* of v.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . For every  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  we have -v = (-1)v.

Proof.

## 3. Examples

**Example 3.1.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a scalar field. Then  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . The addition in  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{F}$  is the addition of complex numbers in  $\mathbb{F}$  and the scaling in  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{F}$  is just the multiplication of complex numbers. The axioms of the vector space then follow from the axioms of the scalar field and the properties of the complex numbers.

#### VECTOR SPACES

The next example is a generalization of the previous one.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{K}$  be scalar fields such that  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ . Then  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{K}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . The addition in  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{K}$  is the addition of complex numbers in  $\mathbb{K}$  and the scaling in  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{K}$  is just the multiplication of complex numbers. The axioms of the vector space then follow from the axioms of the scalar field and the properties of the complex numbers.

**Example 3.3.** This is the quintessential example of a vector space. Many other vector spaces are special cases of this example. Let D be an arbitrary nonempty set and let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a scalar field. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the set of all functions from D to  $\mathbb{F}$ . This set is denoted by  $\mathbb{F}^D$ . The addition in  $\mathbb{F}^D$  is defined as follows: let  $f, g \in \mathbb{F}^D$ , the function f + g is defined by

$$(f+g)(t) := f(t) + g(t)$$
 for all  $t \in D$ .

The scaling in  $\mathbb{F}^D$  is defined as follows: let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $f \in \mathbb{F}^D$ , the function  $\alpha f$  is defined by

$$(\alpha f)(t) := \alpha f(t)$$
 for all  $t \in D$ .

The above definitions of addition and scaling of functions are called *pointwise* definitions. As an exercise you should go through the proofs of all the axioms of the vector space for this specific case.

**Example 3.4.** This is a special case of Example 3.3. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$D = \{t \in \mathbb{N} : t \le n\}.$$

Sometimes this set is written simply as  $D = \{1, ..., n\}$ . Then the vector space  $\mathbb{F}^D$  can be identified with the space  $\mathbb{F}^n$  of all *n*-tuples of elements of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

**Example 3.5.** This is another special case of Example 3.3. Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$D = \{(s,t) : s, t \in \mathbb{N}, \ s \le m, t \le n\};\$$

that is  $D = \{1, \ldots, m\} \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}^D$  can be identified with the space  $\mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$  of all  $m \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

**Example 3.6.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a scalar field. By  $\mathbb{F}[z]$  we denote the set of all polynomials in variable z with coefficients from the scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}[z]$  is a vector space with addition and scalar multiplication defined pointwise.

The next example is a generalization of Example 3.3,

**Example 3.7.** Let D be an arbitrary nonempty set and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the set of all functions from D to  $\mathcal{V}$ ; that is  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}^D$ . With the addition and scaling of functions defined pointwise,  $\mathcal{W}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . The functions in  $\mathcal{V}^D$  are said to be vector valued functions.

## BRANKO ĆURGUS

## 4. Set operations in a vector space

In set theory class we learned about set operations. For two sets A and B we defined  $A \cap B$ ,  $A \cup B$ ,  $A \setminus B$  and  $A\Delta B$ . In a vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  fun with subsets is enriched by two more set operations: the addition of sets and scaling of sets.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be nonempty subsets of  $\mathcal{V}$ . We define the sum of  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$  by

$$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = \{ u + v : u \in \mathcal{A}, v \in \mathcal{B} \}.$$

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  we define  $\alpha \mathcal{A}$  by

$$\alpha \mathcal{A} = \big\{ \alpha u : u \in \mathcal{A} \big\}.$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathcal{A}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n$  be subsets of  $\mathcal{V}$ . By recursion we define

$$\mathcal{A}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{A}_k := (\mathcal{A}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{A}_{k-1}) + \mathcal{A}_k, \quad k = 2, \dots, n.$$

By **AA**, the set  $A_1 + \cdots + A_n$  consists of all the sums  $v_1 + \cdots + v_n$  where  $v_j \in A_j$  where  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

### 5. Special subsets of a vector space

The following definition distinguishes important subsets of a vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . A subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  is said to be a *subspace* of  $\mathcal{V}$  if the following three conditions are satisfied:

SuZ  $0_{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{U}$ . SuA  $\mathcal{U} + \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . SuS For every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  we have  $\alpha \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ 

**Proposition 5.2.** An intersection of subspaces of a vector space is also a subspace.

**Proposition 5.3.** A sum of subspaces of a vector space is also a subspace.

A union of subspaces of a vector space is not necessarily a vector space. Problems 7.3 and 7.5 deal with this question.

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . A nonempty subset  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  is said to be a *cone* in  $\mathcal{V}$  if  $\alpha \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

**Definition 5.5.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . A nonempty subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  is said to be a *convex set* in  $\mathcal{V}$  if  $\alpha u + (1 - \alpha)v \in \mathcal{S}$  for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

**Exercise 5.6.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cone in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a convex set if and only if  $\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .

#### VECTOR SPACES

#### 6. Direct sums of subspaces

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Recall that  $v \in \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{V}$  if and only if there exist  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $w \in \mathcal{W}$  such that v = u + w. A stronger version of the last statement is in the following definition.

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{V}$ . The sum  $\mathcal{U} + \mathcal{V}$  is called a *direct sum* if for every  $v \in \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{V}$  there exist unique  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $w \in \mathcal{W}$  such that v = u + w. The direct sum is denoted by  $\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ .

For example, let  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^4$ ,

$$\mathcal{U} = \{(s_1, s_2, s_3, 0) : s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{R}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{W} = \{(0, t_1, t_2, t_3) : t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{W}$ . However, this sum is not a direct sum. For  $v = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  we can take  $u = (x_1, s_2, s_3, 0) \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $w = (0, x_2 - s_2, x_3 - s_3, x_4) \in \mathcal{W}$  with  $s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{R}$  arbitrary.

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ (s_1, s_2, s_2, 0) : s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R} \} \text{ and } \mathcal{W} = \{ (0, -t_1, t_1, t_2) : t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R} \},\$$

we have  $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{W}$ . Prove this as an exercise.

**Proposition 6.2.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{V}$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) The sum  $\mathcal{U} + \mathcal{W}$  is direct.
- (b) If  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $w \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $u + w = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$ , then  $u = w = o_{\mathcal{V}}$ .
- (c)  $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{W} = \{0_{\mathcal{V}}\}.$

Proof.

**Definition 6.3.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ , let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathcal{U}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_n$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{V}$ . The sum  $\mathcal{U}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{U}_n$  is called a *direct* sum if for every  $v \in \mathcal{U}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{U}_n$  there exist unique  $u_j \in \mathcal{U}_j, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , such that  $v = u_1 + \cdots + u_n$ . The direct sum is denoted by  $\mathcal{U}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{U}_n$ .

**Proposition 6.4.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ , let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathcal{U}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_n$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{V}$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) The sum  $\mathcal{U}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{U}_n$  is direct.
- (b) If  $u_j \in \mathcal{U}_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  and  $u_1 + \cdots + u_n = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$ , then  $u_j = o_{\mathcal{V}}$  for all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

Proof.

#### 7. Problems

**Problem 7.1.** Consider the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  of all real valued functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . This vector space is considered over the field  $\mathbb{R}$ . The purpose

#### BRANKO ĆURGUS

of this exercise is to study some special subspaces of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be an arbitrary real number. Consider the set

- $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma} := \Big\{ f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} : \exists a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } f(t) = a \sin(\gamma t + b), t \in \mathbb{R} \Big\}.$
- (a) Do you see exceptional values for  $\gamma$  for which the set  $S_{\gamma}$  is particularly simple?
- (b) Prove that for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  the set  $S_{\gamma}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .
- (c) For each  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  find a basis for  $S_{\gamma}$ . Plot the function  $\gamma \mapsto \dim S_{\gamma}$ .

**Problem 7.2.** Let D be a nonempty set and  $\mathbb{F}$  a scalar field. Let  $\mathbb{F}^D$  be a vector space introduced in Example 3.3. Let  $\varphi: D \to D$  be a bijection. Set

$$\mathcal{O} = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{F}^D : f(\varphi(t)) = -f(t) \; \forall t \in D \right\},\$$
$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{F}^D : f(\varphi(t)) = f(t) \; \forall t \in D \right\}.$$

- (a) Prove that  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  are subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}^D$ .
- (b) Prove  $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{E} = \{0_{\mathbb{F}^D}\}.$
- (c) Characterize the functions in the set  $\mathcal{O} + \mathcal{E}$ .
- (d) Find a necessary and sufficient condition on  $\varphi : D \to D$  for the equality  $\mathbb{F}^D = \mathcal{O} + \mathcal{E}$ .

Note: This problem is inspired by the concepts of odd and even functions encountered in a precalculus class. In this precalculus setting  $D = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi(t) = -t, t \in \mathbb{R}$ . It would be helpful to work out this problem for this particular case first.

**Problem 7.3.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{W}$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$  or  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ .

**Problem 7.4.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , n > 2. Let  $\mathcal{U}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_n$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{V}$ . If the union  $\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{U}_n$  is a subspace, then

(7.1) 
$$\mathcal{U}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{U}_n \text{ or } \mathcal{U}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{U}_{n-1}.$$

*Proof.* We will prove the contrapositive. Assume that (7.1) is not true. Then there exist  $u_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$  such that  $u_1 \notin \mathcal{U}_j$  for all  $j \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$  and there exist  $u_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $u_n \notin \mathcal{U}_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\alpha u_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  since  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is a subspace and, since  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha u_n \notin \mathcal{U}_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ .

Since  $u_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$  and  $\alpha u_n \notin \mathcal{U}_1$  we have  $u_1 + \alpha u_n \notin \mathcal{U}_1$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $u_1 \notin \mathcal{U}_n$  and  $\alpha u_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  we have  $u_1 + \alpha u_n \notin \mathcal{U}_n$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that 1 < m < n. (Since n > 2 such m exists.) By the choice of  $u_1$  and  $u_n$  we have  $u_1 \notin \mathcal{U}_m$  and  $\alpha u_n \notin \mathcal{U}_m$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ . Therefore, for at most one  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$  we can have  $u_1 + \alpha u_n \in \mathcal{U}_m$ . (If  $u_1 + \alpha u_n \in \mathcal{U}_m$  and  $u_1 + \beta u_n \in \mathcal{U}_m$  with  $\alpha - \beta \neq 0$ , then  $(u_1 + \alpha u_n) - (u_1 + \beta u_n) = (\alpha - \beta)u_n \in \mathcal{U}_m$  with  $\alpha - \beta \neq 0$  and  $u_n \notin \mathcal{U}_m$  which is a contradiction.)

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

Thus, for at most n-2 numbers  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$  we have

$$u_1 + \alpha u_n \in \mathcal{U}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{U}_n$$

Since the set  $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$  is infinite, there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$  such that

 $u_1 + \alpha u_n \notin \mathcal{U}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{U}_n.$ 

Recall that

$$u_1, u_n \in \mathcal{U}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{U}_n.$$

The last two displayed relations show that  $\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{U}_n$  is not a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

**Problem 7.5.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_n$  be subspaces of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Prove that the union  $\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{U}_n$  is a subspace if and only if there exists  $m \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_k \subseteq \mathcal{U}_m$  for all  $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

**Problem 7.6** (Samantha Smith). Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$  be the power set of  $\mathcal{V}$ , that is the set of all subsets of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Set  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . Let the addition and scaling in  $\mathcal{W}$  be defined as in Section 4. Is  $\mathcal{W}$  with these two operations a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ .