Classical Integral Transforms in Semi-commutative Algebraic Geometry

Adam Nyman

Western Washington University

August 27, 2009

A⊒ ▶ ∢ ∃

Adam Nyman

Conventions and Notation

Adam Nyman

<ロト <回ト < 回ト

< ≣ >

æ

• always work over commutative ring k,

@ ▶ ∢ ≣ ▶

≣ ▶

- always work over commutative ring k,
- X is (comm.) quasi-compact separated k-scheme

- always work over commutative ring k,
- X is (comm.) quasi-compact separated k-scheme
- "scheme" = commutative k-scheme

- always work over commutative ring k,
- X is (comm.) quasi-compact separated k-scheme
- "scheme" = commutative k-scheme
- "bimodule" = object in Bimod_k(C, D)

<u>Part 1</u>

Integral Transforms and Bimod: Examples

《曰》《聞》《臣》《臣》

æ



Example 1 *R*, *S* rings, \mathcal{F} an *R* – *S*-bimodule

 $-\otimes_R \mathcal{F}:\mathsf{Mod}R o\mathsf{Mod}S$

2

Example 1 *R*, *S* rings, \mathcal{F} an R - S-bimodule

 $-\otimes_R \mathcal{F}:\mathsf{Mod}R o\mathsf{Mod}S$

 $\mathcal{F} =$ "integral kernel"

2

Example 1 *R*, *S* rings, \mathcal{F} an *R* – *S*-bimodule

 $-\otimes_R \mathcal{F}:\mathsf{Mod}R o\mathsf{Mod}S$

 $\mathcal{F} =$ "integral kernel"

Theorem (Eilenberg, Watts 1960)

Every $F \in \text{Bimod}_k(\text{Mod}R, \text{Mod}S)$ is an integral transform.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

Example 1 *R*, *S* rings, \mathcal{F} an *R* – *S*-bimodule

 $-\otimes_R \mathcal{F}:\mathsf{Mod}R o\mathsf{Mod}S$

 $\mathcal{F} =$ "integral kernel"

Theorem (Eilenberg, Watts 1960)

Every $F \in \text{Bimod}_k(\text{Mod}R, \text{Mod}S)$ is an integral transform. More generally, $\mathcal{F} \mapsto - \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ induces an equivalence

 $\operatorname{Mod}(R^{op} \otimes_k S) \to \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod} R, \operatorname{Mod} S).$

(김희) 김 말에 귀엽이 말

• A = cocomplete abelian cat.

白 ト イヨト イヨト

- A = cocomplete abelian cat.
- $_RA = cat.$ of left *R*-objects in A

/⊒ ▶ ∢ ≣ ▶

-≣->

- A = cocomplete abelian cat.
- $_RA = cat.$ of left *R*-objects in A

 $\mathsf{ob}_R\mathsf{A}:(\mathcal{F},
ho)$ where $\mathcal{F}\in\mathsf{ob}\,\mathsf{A},
ho:\mathsf{R} o\mathsf{End}_\mathsf{A}\,\mathcal{F}$

- A = cocomplete abelian cat.
- $_RA = cat.$ of left *R*-objects in A

 $\mathsf{ob}_R\mathsf{A}: (\mathcal{F},
ho)$ where $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{ob}\,\mathsf{A},
ho: \mathsf{R} o \mathsf{End}_\mathsf{A}\,\mathcal{F}$

Remark: A_R defined similarly

- A = cocomplete abelian cat.
- $_RA = cat.$ of left *R*-objects in A

 $\mathsf{ob}_R\mathsf{A}: (\mathcal{F}, \rho) \mathsf{ where } \mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{ob}\,\mathsf{A}, \rho: \mathsf{R} o \mathsf{End}_\mathsf{A}\,\mathcal{F}$

Remark: A_R defined similarly

e.g.

if A = ModS then $_{R}A \equiv Mod(R^{op} \otimes_{k} S)$.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- A = cocomplete abelian cat.
- $_RA = cat.$ of left *R*-objects in A

 $\mathsf{ob}_R\mathsf{A}: (\mathcal{F}, \rho) \mathsf{ where } \mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{ob}\,\mathsf{A}, \rho: \mathsf{R} o \mathsf{End}_\mathsf{A}\,\mathcal{F}$

Remark: A_R defined similarly

e.g.

if A = ModS then $_{R}A \equiv Mod(R^{op} \otimes_{k} S)$.

For $\mathcal{F} \in {}_{R}A$, define

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- A = cocomplete abelian cat.
- $_RA = cat.$ of left *R*-objects in A

 $\mathsf{ob}_R\mathsf{A}:(\mathcal{F},
ho)$ where $\mathcal{F}\in\mathsf{ob}\,\mathsf{A},
ho:\mathsf{R} o\mathsf{End}_\mathsf{A}\,\mathcal{F}$

Remark: A_R defined similarly

e.g. if A = ModS then ${}_{R}A \equiv Mod(R^{op} \otimes_{k} S)$.

For $\mathcal{F} \in {}_{R}A$, define

 $-\otimes_{R}\mathcal{F}:\mathsf{Mod}R\to\mathsf{A}$

白 ト イヨト イヨト

- A = cocomplete abelian cat.
- $_RA = cat.$ of left *R*-objects in A

 $\mathsf{ob}_R\mathsf{A}: (\mathcal{F},
ho) \mathsf{ where } \mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{ob}\,\mathsf{A},
ho: \mathsf{R} o \mathsf{End}_\mathsf{A}\, \mathcal{F}$

Remark: A_R defined similarly

e.g.

if
$$A = ModS$$
 then $_{R}A \equiv Mod(R^{op} \otimes_{k} S)$.

For $\mathcal{F} \in {}_{R}A$, define

$$-\otimes_R \mathcal{F}:\mathsf{Mod}R o \mathsf{A}$$

as left adjoint to

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathcal{F},-):\mathsf{A}\to\mathsf{Mod}R$$

白 ト イヨ ト イヨト

Theorem (N-Smith 2007)

Every $F \in \text{Bimod}_k(\text{Mod}R, A)$ is an integral transform.

Adam Nyman

向 ト く ヨ ト

Theorem (N-Smith 2007)

Every $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod} R, A)$ is an integral transform. More generally, $\mathcal{F} \mapsto - \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ induces an equivalence

 $_{R}A \rightarrow Bimod_{k}(ModR, A).$

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Example 2 Y scheme

《曰》《聞》《臣》《臣》

æ

Example 2 Y scheme



- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

Example 2 Y scheme

 $X \times Y$ $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Qcoh}(X \times Y)$

@ ▶ ∢ ≣ ▶

- ∢ ≣ ▶

Example 2 Y scheme



個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

 $\pi_*(\tau^*(-)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}}\mathcal{F}): \operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} X \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} Y$

Example 2 Y scheme



個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

 $\pi_*(\tau^*(-)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}}\mathcal{F}): \operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} X \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} Y$

e.g.

If $f: Y \to X$ is a morphism of schemes then

 f^* : Qcoh $X \rightarrow$ QcohY is an integral transform.

χĺ

Example 2 Y scheme

$$X \times Y$$
 $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Qcoh}(X \times Y)$

 $\pi_*(\tau^*(-)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}}\mathcal{F}): \operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} X \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} Y$

e.g.

If $f: Y \to X$ is a morphism of schemes then

 f^* : Qcoh $X \rightarrow$ QcohY is an integral transform.

e.g.

Let
$$X = \mathbb{P}^1$$
 and $Y = \operatorname{Spec} k$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$.

Х́

Example 2 Y scheme

$$X \times Y$$
 $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Qcoh}(X \times Y)$

 $\pi_*(\tau^*(-)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}}\mathcal{F}): \operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} X \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} Y$

e.g.

If $f: Y \to X$ is a morphism of schemes then

 f^* : Qcoh $X \rightarrow$ QcohY is an integral transform.

e.g.

Let
$$X=\mathbb{P}^1$$
 and $Y=\operatorname{Spec} k$, $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_X$.

H¹(X, −) ∈ Bimod_k(QcohX, QcohY) is not an integral transform.

χĺ

Example 2 Y scheme

$$X \times Y$$
 $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Qcoh}(X \times Y)$

 $\pi_*(\tau^*(-)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}}\mathcal{F}): \operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} X \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} Y$

e.g.

If $f: Y \to X$ is a morphism of schemes then

 f^* : Qcoh $X \rightarrow$ QcohY is an integral transform.

e.g.

Let
$$X = \mathbb{P}^1$$
 and $Y = \operatorname{Spec} k$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$.

- H¹(X, −) ∈ Bimod_k(QcohX, QcohY) is not an integral transform.
- Int. trans. not always rt exact

χĺ

Example 2 Y scheme

$$X \times Y$$
 $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Qcoh}(X \times Y)$

 $\pi_*(\tau^*(-)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}}\mathcal{F}):\operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} X\to\operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} Y$

e.g.

If $f: Y \to X$ is a morphism of schemes then

 f^* : Qcoh $X \rightarrow$ QcohY is an integral transform.

e.g.

Let
$$X = \mathbb{P}^1$$
 and $Y = \operatorname{Spec} k$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$.

H¹(X, −) ∈ Bimod_k(QcohX, QcohY) is not an integral transform.

• Int. trans. not always rt exact: $\pi_*(\tau^*(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}) \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, -).$

Example 3

• k a field

- 4 副 🖌 4 国 🕨 - 4 国 🕨

æ

Example 3

• k a field, X, Y finite type over k

Example 3

- k a field, X, Y finite type over k
- \mathcal{A} finite \mathcal{O}_X -algebra

★聞▶ ★臣▶ ★臣▶

Example 3

- k a field, X, Y finite type over k
- \mathcal{A} finite \mathcal{O}_X -algebra, \mathcal{B} finite \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Example 3

- k a field, X, Y finite type over k
- \mathcal{A} finite \mathcal{O}_X -algebra, \mathcal{B} finite \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra

Theorem (Artin-Zhang 1994)

If $F : \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$ is an equivalence

/⊒ ▶ ∢ ≣ ▶

Example 3

- k a field, X, Y finite type over k
- \mathcal{A} finite \mathcal{O}_X -algebra, \mathcal{B} finite \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra

Theorem (Artin-Zhang 1994)

If $F : \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$ is an equivalence then

$${\sf F}\cong\pi_*(au^*(-)\otimes_{ au^*{\cal A}}{\cal F})$$

□ > < ⊇ > <

for some $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{mod}(\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes_k \mathcal{B})$.
Problems

Adam Nyman

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ 国 ト ・ 国 ト

æ

Problems

Ind notion of integral transform generalizing examples

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

æ

Problems

- Find notion of integral transform generalizing examples
- When is an integral transform a bimodule i.e. when is it rt. exact?

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Problems

- Ind notion of integral transform generalizing examples
- When is an integral transform a bimodule i.e. when is it rt. exact?
- When is a bimodule an integral transform?

Problems

- Find notion of integral transform generalizing examples
- When is an integral transform a bimodule i.e. when is it rt. exact?
- When is a bimodule an integral transform? If it is not, how close is it to being an integral transform?

伺 ト イミト イミト

Problems

- Find notion of integral transform generalizing examples
- When is an integral transform a bimodule i.e. when is it rt. exact?
- When is a bimodule an integral transform? If it is not, how close is it to being an integral transform?

"Classical" = not between derived or dg or ∞ -categories

<u>Part 2</u>

Non- and Semi-commutative Algebraic Geometry

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

æ

Adam Nyman

Non-commutative Space := Grothendieck Category

Non-commutative Space := Grothendieck Category =

• (k-linear) abelian category with

Non-commutative Space := Grothendieck Category =

- (k-linear) abelian category with
- exact direct limits and

Non-commutative Space := Grothendieck Category =

- (k-linear) abelian category with
- exact direct limits and
- a generator.

Non-commutative Space := Grothendieck Category =

- (k-linear) abelian category with
- exact direct limits and
- a generator.

Notation: Y geometry

Non-commutative Space := Grothendieck Category =

- (k-linear) abelian category with
- exact direct limits and
- a generator.

Notation: Y geometry or ModY category theory

Non-commutative Space := Grothendieck Category =

- (k-linear) abelian category with
- exact direct limits and
- a generator.

Notation: Y geometry or ModY category theory

The following are non-commutative spaces:

Non-commutative Space := Grothendieck Category =

- (k-linear) abelian category with
- exact direct limits and
- a generator.

Notation: Y geometry or ModY category theory

The following are non-commutative spaces:

Mod R, R a ring

Non-commutative Space := Grothendieck Category =

- (k-linear) abelian category with
- exact direct limits and
- a generator.

Notation: Y geometry or ModY category theory

The following are non-commutative spaces:

- Mod R, R a ring
- Qcoh X

Non-commutative Space := Grothendieck Category =

- (k-linear) abelian category with
- exact direct limits and
- a generator.

Notation: Y geometry or ModY category theory

The following are non-commutative spaces:

- Mod R, R a ring
- Qcoh X
- Proj A := GrA/TorsA where A is Z-graded

Y, Z non-commutative spaces

₫▶ ∢ ≣▶

Y, Z non-commutative spaces $Y \xrightarrow{f} Z$ denotes adjoint pair (f^*, f_*) in the diagram

$$\mathsf{Mod}Y \stackrel{f_*}{\underset{f^*}{\rightleftharpoons}} \mathsf{Mod}Z$$

- 4 回 🕨 - 4 回 🕨 - 4 回 🕨

- Y, Z non-commutative spaces
- $Y \xrightarrow{f} Z$ denotes adjoint pair (f^*, f_*) in the diagram

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} Y \stackrel{f_*}{\underset{f^*}{\rightleftharpoons}} \operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} Z$$

Motivation

If $f: Y \to X$ is a morphism of commutative schemes, (f^*, f_*) is an adjoint pair.

- Y, Z non-commutative spaces
- $Y \stackrel{f}{
 ightarrow} Z$ denotes adjoint pair (f^*, f_*) in the diagram

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} Y \stackrel{f_*}{\underset{f^*}{\rightleftharpoons}} \operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} Z$$

Motivation

If $f: Y \to X$ is a morphism of commutative schemes, (f^*, f_*) is an adjoint pair.

Adjoint functor theorem \Rightarrow

Morphisms $f: Y \rightarrow Z \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Bimod}_k(\text{Mod}Z, \text{Mod}Y).$

白 ト イヨト イヨト

e.g.

Let $f : Y \to X$ denote a morphism of schemes such that $(f^*, f_*, f^!)$ is an adjoint triple (e.g. a closed immersion of varieties).

e.g.

Let $f: Y \to X$ denote a morphism of schemes such that $(f^*, f_*, f^!)$ is an adjoint triple (e.g. a closed immersion of varieties). Then

$$\operatorname{Qcoh} Y \stackrel{f_*}{\underset{f^*}{\rightleftharpoons}} \operatorname{Qcoh} X$$

and

$$\operatorname{Qcoh} X \stackrel{f^!}{\underset{f_*}{\rightleftharpoons}} \operatorname{Qcoh} Y$$

are morphisms of noncommutative spaces $Y \rightarrow X$ and $X \rightarrow Y$.

e.g.

Let $f: Y \to X$ denote a morphism of schemes such that $(f^*, f_*, f^!)$ is an adjoint triple (e.g. a closed immersion of varieties). Then

$$\operatorname{Qcoh} Y \stackrel{f_*}{\underset{f^*}{\rightleftharpoons}} \operatorname{Qcoh} X$$

and

$$\operatorname{Qcoh} X \stackrel{f^!}{\underset{f_*}{\rightleftharpoons}} \operatorname{Qcoh} Y$$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

are morphisms of noncommutative spaces $Y \rightarrow X$ and $X \rightarrow Y$.

The latter may not come from a morphism of schemes.

• $\mathcal{A} =$ quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras (throughout)

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- $\mathcal{A} =$ quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras (throughout)
- Y = non-commutative space

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- $\mathcal{A} =$ quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras (throughout)
- Y = non-commutative space

Semi-comm. Alg. Geom.

白 ト イヨト イヨト

- $\mathcal{A} =$ quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras (throughout)
- Y = non-commutative space

Semi-comm. Alg. Geom. = Study of maps $Y \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{A})$

・日・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

- $\mathcal{A} =$ quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras (throughout)
- Y = non-commutative space

Semi-comm. Alg. Geom. = Study of maps $Y \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{A})$

= Study of $Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$

<回と < 回と < 回と

- $\mathcal{A} =$ quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras (throughout)
- Y = non-commutative space
- Semi-comm. Alg. Geom. = Study of maps $Y \rightarrow (X, A)$

= Study of $Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$

- 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4

æ

- $\mathcal{A} =$ quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras (throughout)
- Y = non-commutative space

Semi-comm. Alg. Geom. = Study of maps $Y \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{A})$

= Study of $Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$

(4回) (4回) (4回)

æ

Examples in Part 1 are semi-commutative

• Example 1: $F : Mod R \rightarrow Mod Y$.

- $\mathcal{A} =$ quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras (throughout)
- Y = non-commutative space

Semi-comm. Alg. Geom. = Study of maps $Y \to (X, \mathcal{A})$

= Study of $Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$

- 4 回 2 4 三 2 4 三 2 4

æ

• Example 1:
$$F : ModR \rightarrow ModY$$
.
If $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$, $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow R$ then $ModR \equiv Mod\mathcal{A}$.

- $\mathcal{A} =$ quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras (throughout)
- Y = non-commutative space

Semi-comm. Alg. Geom. = Study of maps $Y \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{A})$

= Study of $Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$

(4回) (4回) (4回)

æ

- Example 1: $F : Mod R \to Mod Y$. If $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$, $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow R$ then $Mod R \equiv Mod \mathcal{A}$.
- **2** Example 2: $F : \operatorname{Qcoh} X \to \operatorname{Qcoh} Y$.

- $\mathcal{A} =$ quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras (throughout)
- Y = non-commutative space

Semi-comm. Alg. Geom. = Study of maps $Y \to (X, \mathcal{A})$

= Study of $Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶

æ

• Example 1:
$$F : ModR \rightarrow ModY$$
.
If $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$, $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow R$ then $ModR \equiv Mod\mathcal{A}$.

② Example 2:
$$F$$
 : Qcoh X → Qcoh Y .
If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_X$ Qcoh $X \equiv Mod\mathcal{A}$. Let $ModY = QcohY$.

- $\mathcal{A} =$ quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras (throughout)
- Y = non-commutative space

Semi-comm. Alg. Geom. = Study of maps $Y \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{A})$

= Study of $Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$

- 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4

æ

Examples in Part 1 are semi-commutative

• Example 1:
$$F : Mod R \rightarrow Mod Y$$
.
If $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$, $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow R$ then $Mod R \equiv Mod \mathcal{A}$.

Solution Example 3: $F : Mod \mathcal{A} \to Mod \mathcal{B}$.

- $\mathcal{A} =$ quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras (throughout)
- Y = non-commutative space

Semi-comm. Alg. Geom. = Study of maps $Y \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{A})$

= Study of $Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

æ

• Example 1:
$$F : ModR \to ModY$$
.
If $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$, $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow R$ then $ModR \equiv Mod\mathcal{A}$.

● Example 3:
$$F : Mod A \rightarrow Mod B$$
.
Let $Mod Y = Mod B$.
Adam Nyman

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

 Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.

æ

- Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.
- When is an integral transform in Bimod_k(ModA, ModY) i.e. when is it rt. exact?

æ

- Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.
- When is an integral transform in Bimod_k(ModA, ModY) i.e. when is it rt. exact?
- So When is $F \in Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$ an integral transform?

æ

- Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.
- When is an integral transform in Bimod_k(ModA, ModY) i.e. when is it rt. exact?
- When is F ∈ Bimod_k(ModA, ModY) an integral transform? If it isn't, how close is it to being an integral transform?

<u>Part 3</u>

Integral Transforms in Semi-commutative Algebraic Geometry w/ D. Chan in case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_X$

白 ト イヨト イヨト

æ

An Integral Transform is a functor of the form

 $\pi_*(-\otimes_\mathcal{A}\mathcal{F}):\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A} o\mathsf{Mod}Y$

where

<回と < 回と < 回と

An Integral Transform is a functor of the form

 $\pi_*(-\otimes_\mathcal{A}\mathcal{F}):\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A} o\mathsf{Mod}Y$

where

• \mathcal{F} is a "left \mathcal{A} -object" in Mod Y

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

An Integral Transform is a functor of the form

$$\pi_*(-\otimes_\mathcal{A}\mathcal{F}):\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A} o\mathsf{Mod}Y$$

where

- \mathcal{F} is a "left \mathcal{A} -object" in Mod Y
- $\bullet \ \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}$ denotes tensoring a right $\mathcal{A}\text{-module}$ with \mathcal{F} and

An Integral Transform is a functor of the form

 $\pi_*(-\otimes_\mathcal{A}\mathcal{F}):\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A} o\mathsf{Mod}Y$

where

- \mathcal{F} is a "left \mathcal{A} -object" in Mod Y
- ullet $-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{F}$ denotes tensoring a right \mathcal{A} -module with \mathcal{F} and

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

• π_* is semi-comm. analogue of the pushforward of $\pi: X \times Y \to Y$

An Integral Transform is a functor of the form

 $\pi_*(-\otimes_\mathcal{A}\mathcal{F}):\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A} o\mathsf{Mod}Y$

where

- \mathcal{F} is a "left \mathcal{A} -object" in ModY
- $\bullet \ \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}$ denotes tensoring a right $\mathcal{A}\text{-module}$ with \mathcal{F} and
- π_* is semi-comm. analogue of the pushforward of $\pi: X \times Y \to Y$

Main Idea (Artin-Zhang 2001)

Constructions from commutative algebraic geometry which are local only over X exist in semi-commutative setting.

(4回) (4回) (4回)

A left A-object in Y, denoted M, consists of following data:

A left A-object in Y, denoted \mathcal{M} , consists of following data: • For $U \subset X$ affine open, an object $\mathcal{M}(U) \in {}_{\mathcal{A}(U)} \operatorname{Mod} Y$

A left A-object in Y, denoted M, consists of following data:

• For $U \subset X$ affine open, an object $\mathcal{M}(U) \in {}_{\mathcal{A}(U)}\mathsf{Mod} Y$

• Given $U \times V \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}_1} U$ an isomorphism $\downarrow^{\operatorname{pr}_2} \downarrow \qquad \downarrow^{\operatorname{pr}_2} \downarrow \qquad \downarrow^{\operatorname{pr}_2} \downarrow \qquad \downarrow^{\operatorname{pr}_2} \chi \longrightarrow X$ $\psi_{U,V} : \operatorname{pr}_1^* \mathcal{M}(U) \to \operatorname{pr}_2^* \mathcal{M}(V) \text{ (sat. cocycle cond.)}$

A left A-object in Y, denoted M, consists of following data:

• For $U \subset X$ affine open, an object $\mathcal{M}(U) \in {}_{\mathcal{A}(U)}\mathsf{Mod}Y$

• Given $U \times V \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}_1} U$ an isomorphism $\downarrow^{\operatorname{pr}_2} \downarrow \qquad \downarrow^{\operatorname{pr}_2} \mathcal{M}(V)$ (sat. cocycle cond.) $\psi_{U,V} : \operatorname{pr}_1^* \mathcal{M}(U) \to \operatorname{pr}_2^* \mathcal{M}(V)$ (sat. cocycle cond.) $\mathcal{A}\operatorname{Mod} Y := \operatorname{cat.}$ of left \mathcal{A} -objects in Y

A left A-object in Y, denoted M, consists of following data:

• For $U \subset X$ affine open, an object $\mathcal{M}(U) \in {}_{\mathcal{A}(U)}\mathsf{Mod} Y$

• Given $U \times V \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}_1} U$ an isomorphism $\downarrow^{\operatorname{pr}_2} \downarrow \qquad \downarrow$ $V \longrightarrow X$ $\psi_{U,V} : \operatorname{pr}_1^* \mathcal{M}(U) \to \operatorname{pr}_2^* \mathcal{M}(V)$ (sat. cocycle cond.) $\mathcal{A}\operatorname{Mod} Y := \operatorname{cat.}$ of left \mathcal{A} -objects in Y

e.g.

$$X = \operatorname{Spec} k, \ \mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow R \Rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{A}}\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} Y \equiv {}_{R}\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} Y$$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

 $\mathsf{lf}\; \mathcal{F} \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathsf{Mod}\, Y$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

If $\mathcal{F} \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathsf{Mod}\,Y$ define

$$-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{F}:\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A} o\mathsf{Mod}Y_{\mathcal{O}_X}$$

over open affine $U \subset X$ by

If $\mathcal{F} \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathsf{Mod}\,Y$ define

$$-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{F}:\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A} o\mathsf{Mod}Y_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}$$

over open affine $U \subset X$ by

$$(\mathcal{N}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{F})(U):=\mathcal{N}(U)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}(U)}\mathcal{F}(U)$$

If $\mathcal{F} \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathsf{Mod}\,Y$ define

$$-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{F}:\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A} o\mathsf{Mod}Y_{\mathcal{O}_X}$$

over open affine $U \subset X$ by

$$(\mathcal{N}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{F})(U):=\mathcal{N}(U)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}(U)}\mathcal{F}(U)$$

Define gluing isomorphisms locally as well.

If $\mathcal{F} \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathsf{Mod}\,Y$ define

$$-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{F}:\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A} o\mathsf{Mod}Y_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}$$

over open affine $U \subset X$ by

$$(\mathcal{N}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{F})(U):=\mathcal{N}(U)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}(U)}\mathcal{F}(U)$$

Define gluing isomorphisms locally as well.

$$\mathcal{F}$$
 is flat/ $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow - \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}$ is exact.

Define $\pi_* : \operatorname{Mod} Y_{\mathcal{O}_X} \to \operatorname{Mod} Y$ via rel. Cech Cohomology:

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Define $\pi_* : \operatorname{Mod} Y_{\mathcal{O}_X} \to \operatorname{Mod} Y$ via rel. Cech Cohomology:

X quasi-compact \Rightarrow X has *finite* affine open cover \mathfrak{U} : { U_i }

Define $\pi_*: \operatorname{Mod} Y_{\mathcal{O}_X} \to \operatorname{Mod} Y$ via rel. Cech Cohomology:

X quasi-compact \Rightarrow X has *finite* affine open cover $\mathfrak{U} : \{U_i\}$

For $\mathcal{N} \in \mathsf{Mod} Y_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, let

Define $\pi_* : \operatorname{Mod} Y_{\mathcal{O}_X} \to \operatorname{Mod} Y$ via rel. Cech Cohomology:

X quasi-compact \Rightarrow X has *finite* affine open cover \mathfrak{U} : { U_i }

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{For} \ \mathcal{N} \in \mathsf{Mod} \, Y_{\mathcal{O}_X}, \ \mathsf{let} \\ \bullet \ \ C^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{N}) := \bigoplus_{i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_p} \mathcal{N}(U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p}) \end{array}$$

Define $\pi_* : \operatorname{Mod} Y_{\mathcal{O}_X} \to \operatorname{Mod} Y$ via rel. Cech Cohomology:

X quasi-compact \Rightarrow X has *finite* affine open cover \mathfrak{U} : { U_i }

For $\mathcal{N} \in \operatorname{Mod} Y_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, let • $C^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{N}) := \bigoplus_{i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_p} \mathcal{N}(U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p})$

• $d: C^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{N}) \to C^{p+1}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{N})$ defined via restriction as usual

(4回) (1日) (日) 日

Define $\pi_* : \operatorname{Mod} Y_{\mathcal{O}_X} \to \operatorname{Mod} Y$ via rel. Cech Cohomology:

X quasi-compact \Rightarrow X has *finite* affine open cover $\mathfrak{U} : \{U_i\}$

For $\mathcal{N} \in \operatorname{Mod} Y_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, let • $C^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{N}) := \bigoplus_{i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_p} \mathcal{N}(U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p})$ • $d : C^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{N}) \to C^{p+1}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{N})$ defined via restriction as usual • $\mathsf{R}^i \pi_* \mathcal{N} := \mathsf{H}^i(C^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{N}))$

< □ > < □ > < □ > □ □

Refined Problems

< □ > < □ >

_∢ ≣ ≯

Refined Problems

Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Refined Problems

- Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.
- **2** When is an integral transform in $Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$

Refined Problems

- Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.
- When is an integral transform in Bimod_k(ModA, ModY) i.e. when does π_{*}(− ⊗_A F) induce a morphism of noncommutative spaces

$$Y
ightarrow (X, \mathcal{A})?$$

Refined Problems

- Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.
- When is an integral transform in Bimod_k(ModA, ModY) i.e. when does π_{*}(− ⊗_A F) induce a morphism of noncommutative spaces

$$Y
ightarrow (X, \mathcal{A})?$$

③ When is $F \in Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$ an integral transform?

Refined Problems

- Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.
- When is an integral transform in Bimod_k(ModA, ModY) i.e. when does π_{*}(−⊗_A F) induce a morphism of noncommutative spaces

$$Y
ightarrow (X, \mathcal{A})?$$

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

When is F ∈ Bimod_k(ModA, ModY) an integral transform? If it isn't, how close is it to being an integral transform?

Part 4

Semi-commutative Algebraic Geometry: Maps from n.c. spaces to curves (w/D. Chan)

Throughout Part 4, $k = \overline{k}$

同下 くほと くほど

æ

Adam Nyman

Semi-commutative Algebraic Geometry: Maps from n.c. spaces to curves (w/D. Chan)

Commutative example

X =smooth curve

 $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is comm. ruled surface with fiber C.

Semi-commutative Algebraic Geometry: Maps from n.c. spaces to curves (w/D. Chan)

Commutative example

$$\begin{split} &X = \text{smooth curve} \\ &f: Y \to X \text{ is comm. ruled surface with fiber } C. \\ &\text{If } \Gamma \subset Y \times X = \text{ graph of } f \text{, then} \end{split}$$
Commutative example

 $\begin{aligned} X = & \text{smooth curve} \\ f : Y \to X \text{ is comm. ruled surface with fiber } C. \\ & \text{If } \Gamma \subset Y \times X = \text{ graph of } f, \text{ then} \\ & \bullet \text{ comp. of Hilb } \mathcal{O}_Y \text{ corresponding to } C \text{ is } X \end{aligned}$

Commutative example

X =smooth curve

- $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is comm. ruled surface with fiber C.
- If $\Gamma \subset Y \times X = \text{graph of } f$, then
 - comp. of Hilb \mathcal{O}_Y corresponding to C is X
 - 2 $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^{tr}}$ = corresponding universal quotient of \mathcal{O}_{Y} , and

Commutative example

X =smooth curve

 $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is comm. ruled surface with fiber C.

If $\Gamma \subset Y \times X = \text{graph of } f$, then

• comp. of Hilb \mathcal{O}_Y corresponding to C is X

- 2 $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^{tr}}$ = corresponding universal quotient of \mathcal{O}_{Y} , and
- **3** If $\pi: X \times Y \to Y$ is projection, then $f^* \cong \pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^{tr}})$.

Commutative example

X =smooth curve

 $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is comm. ruled surface with fiber C.

If $\Gamma \subset Y \times X = \text{graph of } f$, then

• comp. of Hilb \mathcal{O}_Y corresponding to C is X

2 $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^{tr}}$ = corresponding universal quotient of \mathcal{O}_{Y} , and

If
$$\pi: X \times Y \to Y$$
 is projection, then $f^* \cong \pi_*(- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^{tr}})$.

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Problem

To what extent do 1-3 hold in the semi-commutative setting?

If *Y* is a **non-commutative smooth proper** *d***-fold** one can use the following to study *Y*:

• Sheaf Cohomology (Artin-Zhang 1994),

- Sheaf Cohomology (Artin-Zhang 1994),
- Intersection theory (Mori-Smith 2001),

- Sheaf Cohomology (Artin-Zhang 1994),
- Intersection theory (Mori-Smith 2001),
- Serre duality (Bondal-Kapranov 1990),

- Sheaf Cohomology (Artin-Zhang 1994),
- Intersection theory (Mori-Smith 2001),
- Serre duality (Bondal-Kapranov 1990),
- Dimension theory,

- Sheaf Cohomology (Artin-Zhang 1994),
- Intersection theory (Mori-Smith 2001),
- Serre duality (Bondal-Kapranov 1990),
- Dimension theory,
- Hilbert schemes (Artin-Zhang 2001)

- Sheaf Cohomology (Artin-Zhang 1994),
- Intersection theory (Mori-Smith 2001),
- Serre duality (Bondal-Kapranov 1990),
- Dimension theory,
- Hilbert schemes (Artin-Zhang 2001)

Adam Nyman

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

e.g.

$$A = Skl(a, b, c) := \frac{k\langle x_0, x_1, x_2 \rangle}{(ax_ix_{i+1} + bx_{i+1}x_i + cx_{i+2}^2 : i = 1, 2, 3 \mod 3)}$$
for generic $(a : b : c) \in \mathbb{P}^2$.

@ ▶ ∢ ≣ ▶

e.g.

$$A = Skl(a, b, c) := \frac{k\langle x_0, x_1, x_2 \rangle}{(ax_ix_{i+1} + bx_{i+1}x_i + cx_{i+2}^2 : i = 1, 2, 3 \mod 3)}$$
for generic $(a : b : c) \in \mathbb{P}^2$. Then ProjA is a n.c. smooth proper 2-fold.

∰ ▶ € ▶

e.g.

$$A = Skl(a, b, c) := \frac{k\langle x_0, x_1, x_2 \rangle}{(ax_ix_{i+1} + bx_{i+1}x_i + cx_{i+2}^2 : i = 1, 2, 3 \mod 3)}$$
for generic $(a : b : c) \in \mathbb{P}^2$. Then ProjA is a n.c. smooth proper 2-fold.

e.g.

Homogenized $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

e.g.

$$A = Skl(a, b, c) := \frac{k\langle x_0, x_1, x_2 \rangle}{(ax_i x_{i+1} + bx_{i+1} x_i + cx_{i+2}^2 : i = 1, 2, 3 \mod 3)}$$

for generic $(a : b : c) \in \mathbb{P}^2$. Then ProjA is a n.c. smooth proper 2-fold.

e.g.

Homogenized $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) =$

$$A = \frac{k\langle e, f, h, z \rangle}{(ef - fe - zh, eh - he - 2ze, fh - hf + 2zf, z \text{ central})}$$

e.g.

$$A = Skl(a, b, c) := \frac{k\langle x_0, x_1, x_2 \rangle}{(ax_i x_{i+1} + bx_{i+1} x_i + cx_{i+2}^2 : i = 1, 2, 3 \mod 3)}$$

for generic $(a : b : c) \in \mathbb{P}^2$. Then ProjA is a n.c. smooth proper 2-fold.

e.g.

Homogenized $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) =$

$${\sf A}=rac{k\langle e,f,h,z
angle}{(ef-fe-zh,eh-he-2ze,fh-hf+2zf,z\ {\sf central})}$$

ProjA is a n.c. smooth proper 3-fold.

e.g.

$$A = Skl(a, b, c) := \frac{k\langle x_0, x_1, x_2 \rangle}{(ax_i x_{i+1} + bx_{i+1} x_i + cx_{i+2}^2 : i = 1, 2, 3 \mod 3)}$$

for generic $(a : b : c) \in \mathbb{P}^2$. Then ProjA is a n.c. smooth proper 2-fold.

e.g.

Homogenized $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) =$

$$A = \frac{k\langle e, f, h, z \rangle}{(ef - fe - zh, eh - he - 2ze, fh - hf + 2zf, z \text{ central})}.$$

ProjA is a n.c. smooth proper 3-fold. Given $t \in Z(A)_2$, ProjA/(t) is a n.c. smooth proper 2-fold.

@ ▶ ∢ ≣ ▶

_∢ ≣ ≯

 $Y = \operatorname{Proj} A$ n.c. smooth proper surface w/ struct. sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y := \pi A$

 $Y = \operatorname{Proj} A$ n.c. smooth proper surface w/ struct. sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y := \pi A$

For $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathsf{mod}\,Y$ let

 $Y = \operatorname{Proj} A$ n.c. smooth proper surface w/ struct. sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y := \pi A$

For $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathsf{mod}\,Y$ let

 $H^{i}(\mathcal{M}) := \operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{Y}(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{M}).$

 $Y = \operatorname{Proj} A$ n.c. smooth proper surface w/ struct. sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y := \pi A$

For $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathsf{mod}\,Y$ let

$$H^{i}(\mathcal{M}) := \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}^{i}(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{M}).$$

Theorem (Artin-Zhang 1994)

 $H^i(\mathcal{M})$ and more generally $\operatorname{Ext}^i_Y(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$

白 ト イヨ ト イヨト

 $Y = \operatorname{Proj} A$ n.c. smooth proper surface w/ struct. sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y := \pi A$

For $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathsf{mod}\,Y$ let

$$H^{i}(\mathcal{M}) := \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}^{i}(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{M}).$$

Theorem (Artin-Zhang 1994)

 $H^{i}(\mathcal{M})$ and more generally $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{Y}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$ are finite dimensional.

 $Y = \mathsf{Proj}A$ n.c. smooth proper surface w/ struct. sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y := \pi A$

For $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathsf{mod}\,Y$ let

$$H^{i}(\mathcal{M}) := \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}^{i}(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{M}).$$

Theorem (Artin-Zhang 1994)

 $H^{i}(\mathcal{M})$ and more generally $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{Y}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$ are finite dimensional.

Thus intersection defined (Mori-Smith 2001) by

 $Y = \operatorname{Proj} A$ n.c. smooth proper surface w/ struct. sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y := \pi A$

For $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathsf{mod}\,Y$ let

$$H^{i}(\mathcal{M}) := \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}^{i}(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{M}).$$

Theorem (Artin-Zhang 1994)

 $H^{i}(\mathcal{M})$ and more generally $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{Y}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$ are finite dimensional.

Thus intersection defined (Mori-Smith 2001) by

$$\mathcal{M}.\mathcal{N} := -\sum_{i=0}^{2} (-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}\operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{Y}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$$

 $Y = \mathsf{Proj}A$ n.c. smooth proper surface w/ struct. sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y := \pi A$

For $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathsf{mod}\,Y$ let

$$H^{i}(\mathcal{M}) := \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}^{i}(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{M}).$$

Theorem (Artin-Zhang 1994)

 $H^{i}(\mathcal{M})$ and more generally $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{Y}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$ are finite dimensional.

Thus intersection defined (Mori-Smith 2001) by

$$\mathcal{M}.\mathcal{N} := -\sum_{i=0}^{2} (-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}\operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{Y}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$$

is well defined.

Y = ProjA n.c. smooth proper surface w/ struct. sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y := \pi A$

For $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathsf{mod}\, Y$ let

$$H^{i}(\mathcal{M}) := \operatorname{Ext}_{Y}^{i}(\mathcal{O}_{Y}, \mathcal{M}).$$

Theorem (Artin-Zhang 1994)

 $H^{i}(\mathcal{M})$ and more generally $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{Y}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$ are finite dimensional.

Thus intersection defined (Mori-Smith 2001) by

$$\mathcal{M}.\mathcal{N} := -\sum_{i=0}^{2} (-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}\operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{Y}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$$

is well defined. **Remark:** This specializes to the intersection product for curves on a comm. surface.

Y = n.c. smooth proper *d*-fold.

Y = n.c. smooth proper *d*-fold.

Theorem (Artin-Zhang)

For $P \in \text{mod} Y$, there exists a Hilbert scheme Hilb P parameterizing quotients of P.

Y = n.c. smooth proper *d*-fold.

Theorem (Artin-Zhang)

For $P \in \text{mod } Y$, there exists a Hilbert scheme Hilb P parameterizing quotients of P. Hilb P is countable union of projective schemes which is locally of finite type.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Y = n.c. smooth proper surface with structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y

Y = n.c. smooth proper surface with structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y

Say $F \in \text{mod} Y$ is *K*-non-effective rational curve with self-intersection **0** if

Y = n.c. smooth proper surface with structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y

Say $F \in \text{mod} Y$ is K-non-effective rational curve with self-intersection **0** if

• F is 1-critical quotient of \mathcal{O}_Y

Y = n.c. smooth proper surface with structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y

Say $F \in \text{mod} Y$ is *K*-non-effective rational curve with self-intersection **0** if

• F is 1-critical quotient of
$$\mathcal{O}_Y$$

2
$$H^0(F) = k, H^1(F) = 0, F^2 = 0$$
Y = n.c. smooth proper surface with structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y

Say $F \in \text{mod} Y$ is *K*-non-effective rational curve with self-intersection **0** if

• F is 1-critical quotient of
$$\mathcal{O}_Y$$

2
$$H^0(F) = k, H^1(F) = 0, F^2 = 0$$

$$H^0(F\otimes \omega_Y)=0$$

Y = n.c. smooth proper surface with structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y

Say $F \in \text{mod} Y$ is K-non-effective rational curve with self-intersection **0** if

• F is 1-critical quotient of
$$\mathcal{O}_Y$$

2
$$H^0(F) = k, H^1(F) = 0, F^2 = 0$$

$$H^0(F\otimes\omega_Y)=0$$

Remark: In comm. case, $1-2 \Rightarrow F$ is struct. sheaf of *K*-negative, rational curve with self-intersection 0

Y = n.c. smooth proper surface with structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y

Say $F \in \text{mod} Y$ is K-non-effective rational curve with self-intersection **0** if

• F is 1-critical quotient of
$$\mathcal{O}_Y$$

2
$$H^0(F) = k, H^1(F) = 0, F^2 = 0$$

$$H^0(F \otimes \omega_Y) = 0$$

Remark: In comm. case, $1-2 \Rightarrow F$ is struct. sheaf of *K*-negative, rational curve with self-intersection 0. 3 is substitute for *K*-negativity, since we don't know $K.C < 0 \Rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_C \otimes \omega) = 0$.

Y = n.c. smooth proper surface with structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y

Say $F \in \text{mod} Y$ is K-non-effective rational curve with self-intersection **0** if

• F is 1-critical quotient of
$$\mathcal{O}_Y$$

2
$$H^0(F) = k, H^1(F) = 0, F^2 = 0$$

$$H^0(F \otimes \omega_Y) = 0$$

Remark: In comm. case, $1-2 \Rightarrow F$ is struct. sheaf of *K*-negative, rational curve with self-intersection 0. 3 is substitute for *K*-negativity, since we don't know $K.C < 0 \Rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_C \otimes \omega) = 0$.

e.g. If $f: Y \to X$ is a comm. or n.c. ruled surface

Y = n.c. smooth proper surface with structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y

Say $F \in \text{mod} Y$ is K-non-effective rational curve with self-intersection **0** if

• F is 1-critical quotient of
$$\mathcal{O}_Y$$

2
$$H^0(F) = k, \ H^1(F) = 0, \ F^2 = 0$$

$$\bullet H^0(F\otimes\omega_Y)=0$$

Remark: In comm. case, $1-2 \Rightarrow F$ is struct. sheaf of *K*-negative, rational curve with self-intersection 0. 3 is substitute for *K*-negativity, since we don't know $K.C < 0 \Rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_C \otimes \omega) = 0$.

e.g.

If $f: Y \to X$ is a comm. or n.c. ruled surface then the structure sheaf of fiber is *K*-non-effective rational curve with self-intersection 0.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Theorem (Chan-N, 2009)

Suppose Y is a n.c. smooth proper surface.

Theorem (Chan-N, 2009)

Suppose Y is a n.c. smooth proper surface. If

• F is K-non-effective rational curve with $F^2 = 0$

Theorem (Chan-N, 2009)

Suppose Y is a n.c. smooth proper surface. If

- F is K-non-effective rational curve with $F^2 = 0$, and
- **2** for every simple 0-dim quotient $P \in \text{mod} Y$ of F we have $F \cdot P = 0$

Theorem (Chan-N, 2009)

Suppose Y is a n.c. smooth proper surface. If

- F is K-non-effective rational curve with $F^2 = 0$, and
- ② for every simple 0-dim quotient $P \in \text{mod} Y$ of F we have $F \cdot P = 0$,

then the component of Hilb \mathcal{O}_Y containing F is a smooth curve X

Theorem (Chan-N, 2009)

Suppose Y is a n.c. smooth proper surface. If

- F is K-non-effective rational curve with $F^2 = 0$, and
- If or every simple 0-dim quotient P ∈ mod Y of F we have F.P = 0,

then the component of Hilb \mathcal{O}_Y containing F is a smooth curve X, and the corresponding family \mathcal{F} over X is such that the following is exact and preserves noetherian objects:

 $\pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\mathcal{F}):\operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} X o\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} Y$

→ 御 → → 注 → → 注 →

Theorem (Chan-N, 2009)

Suppose Y is a n.c. smooth proper surface. If

- F is K-non-effective rational curve with $F^2 = 0$, and
- If or every simple 0-dim quotient P ∈ mod Y of F we have F.P = 0,

then the component of Hilb \mathcal{O}_Y containing F is a smooth curve X, and the corresponding family \mathcal{F} over X is such that the following is exact and preserves noetherian objects:

$$\pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\mathcal{F}):\operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} X o\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} Y$$

e.g. If X = smooth curve, $f : Y \rightarrow X =$ n.c. ruled surface and F = struct. sheaf of fiber

Theorem (Chan-N, 2009)

Suppose Y is a n.c. smooth proper surface. If

- F is K-non-effective rational curve with $F^2 = 0$, and
- ② for every simple 0-dim quotient $P \in \text{mod} Y$ of F we have $F \cdot P = 0$,

then the component of Hilb \mathcal{O}_Y containing F is a smooth curve X, and the corresponding family \mathcal{F} over X is such that the following is exact and preserves noetherian objects:

$$\pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\mathcal{F}):\operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} X o\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} Y$$

e.g.

If X = smooth curve, $f : Y \rightarrow X =$ n.c. ruled surface and F = struct. sheaf of fiber then F satisfies 1,2

Theorem (Chan-N, 2009)

Suppose Y is a n.c. smooth proper surface. If

- F is K-non-effective rational curve with $F^2 = 0$, and
- ② for every simple 0-dim quotient $P \in \text{mod} Y$ of F we have $F \cdot P = 0$,

then the component of Hilb \mathcal{O}_Y containing F is a smooth curve X, and the corresponding family \mathcal{F} over X is such that the following is exact and preserves noetherian objects:

$$\pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\mathcal{F}):\operatorname{\mathsf{Qcoh}} X\to\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} Y$$

e.g.

If X = smooth curve, $f : Y \to X =$ n.c. ruled surface and F = struct. sheaf of fiber then F satisfies 1,2 and $f^* \cong \pi_*(- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F})$.

More generally:

• Y = n.c. smooth proper *d*-fold

More generally:

- Y=n.c. smooth proper *d*-fold
- X=integral proj. curve

More generally:

- Y=n.c. smooth proper *d*-fold
- X=integral proj. curve
- $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{O}_X \operatorname{Mod} Y$ flat/X.

More generally:

- Y=n.c. smooth proper *d*-fold
- X=integral proj. curve
- $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{O}_X \operatorname{Mod} Y$ flat/X.

Say \mathcal{F} is **base point free** if for any simple $P \in \operatorname{mod} Y$, Hom_Y(F, P) = 0 for a generic fibre $F \in \mathcal{F}$

More generally:

- Y=n.c. smooth proper *d*-fold
- X=integral proj. curve
- $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{O}_X \operatorname{Mod} Y$ flat/X.

Say \mathcal{F} is **base point free** if for any simple $P \in \text{mod} Y$, Hom_Y(F, P) = 0 for a generic fibre $F \in \mathcal{F}$

Theorem (Chan-N 2009)

If $\mathcal F$ is base point free

More generally:

- Y=n.c. smooth proper *d*-fold
- X=integral proj. curve
- $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{O}_X \operatorname{Mod} Y$ flat/X.

Say \mathcal{F} is **base point free** if for any simple $P \in \operatorname{mod} Y$, Hom_Y(F, P) = 0 for a generic fibre $F \in \mathcal{F}$

Theorem (Chan-N 2009)

If \mathcal{F} is base point free then $\pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F})$ is exact

More generally:

- Y=n.c. smooth proper *d*-fold
- X=integral proj. curve
- $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{O}_X \operatorname{Mod} Y$ flat/X.

Say \mathcal{F} is **base point free** if for any simple $P \in \text{mod} Y$, Hom_Y(F, P) = 0 for a generic fibre $F \in \mathcal{F}$

Theorem (Chan-N 2009)

If \mathcal{F} is base point free then $\pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F})$ is exact hence in $\operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Qcoh} X, \operatorname{Mod} Y)$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

More generally:

- Y=n.c. smooth proper *d*-fold
- X=integral proj. curve
- $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{O}_X \operatorname{Mod} Y$ flat/X.

Say \mathcal{F} is **base point free** if for any simple $P \in \text{mod} Y$, Hom_Y(F, P) = 0 for a generic fibre $F \in \mathcal{F}$

Theorem (Chan-N 2009)

If \mathcal{F} is base point free then $\pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F})$ is exact hence in $\operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Qcoh} X, \operatorname{Mod} Y)$, and preserves noetherian objects.

(4回) (4回) (4回)

Refined Problems

Refined Problems

Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.

伺 と く き と く き と

Refined Problems

- Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.
- **2** When is an integral transform in $Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$

Refined Problems

- Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.
- When is an integral transform in Bimod_k(ModA, ModY) i.e. when does π_{*}(− ⊗_A F) induce a morphism of noncommutative spaces

$$Y \to (X, \mathcal{A})$$
?

Refined Problems

- Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.
- When is an integral transform in Bimod_k(ModA, ModY) i.e. when does π_{*}(−⊗_A F) induce a morphism of noncommutative spaces

$$Y
ightarrow (X, \mathcal{A})?$$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Solution $F \in Bimod_k(Mod\mathcal{A}, ModY)$ an integral transform?

Refined Problems

- Find notion of integral transform in the semi-comm. setting, i.e. from ModA to ModY.
- When is an integral transform in Bimod_k(ModA, ModY) i.e. when does π_{*}(−⊗_A F) induce a morphism of noncommutative spaces

$$Y \to (X, \mathcal{A})?$$

白 ト イヨ ト イヨト

When is F ∈ Bimod_k(ModA, ModY) an integral transform? If it isn't, how close is it to being an integral transform?

<u>Part 5</u>

Integral Transforms and Bimod revisited



Adam Nyman

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

• $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$

▲圖▶ ▲理▶ ▲理▶

- $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$
- $u: U \to X$ denotes inclusion of affine open

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod} Y)$
- $u: U \to X$ denotes inclusion of affine open

 $Fu_* \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}(U), \operatorname{Mod}Y) \Rightarrow$

$$\mathsf{Fu}_*\cong -\otimes_{\mathcal{A}(U)}\mathcal{F}_U$$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

for some $\mathcal{F}_U \in {}_{\mathcal{A}(U)} \mathsf{Mod} Y$.

- $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$
- $u: U \rightarrow X$ denotes inclusion of affine open

 $Fu_* \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}(U), \operatorname{Mod}Y) \Rightarrow$

$$Fu_*\cong -\otimes_{\mathcal{A}(U)}\mathcal{F}_U$$

for some $\mathcal{F}_U \in {}_{\mathcal{A}(U)} \mathsf{Mod} Y$.

Theorem (Van den Bergh, Chan-N.)

The collection $F^{\flat}(U) = \mathcal{F}_U$ induces a functor

 $(-)^{\flat}: \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}\nolimits\mathcal{A},\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}\nolimits Y) o {}_{\mathcal{A}}\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}\nolimits Y$

< ∃⇒

- $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$
- $u: U \to X$ denotes inclusion of affine open

 $Fu_* \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}(U), \operatorname{Mod}Y) \Rightarrow$

$$Fu_*\cong -\otimes_{\mathcal{A}(U)}\mathcal{F}_U$$

for some $\mathcal{F}_U \in {}_{\mathcal{A}(U)} \mathsf{Mod} Y$.

Theorem (Van den Bergh, Chan-N.)

The collection $F^{\flat}(U) = \mathcal{F}_U$ induces a functor

 $(-)^{\flat}: \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}\nolimits\mathcal{A},\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}\nolimits Y) o {}_{\mathcal{A}}\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}\nolimits Y$

Furthermore, if $F = \pi_*(-\otimes_\mathcal{A}\mathcal{F})$ then

- $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$
- $u: U \to X$ denotes inclusion of affine open

 $Fu_* \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}(U), \operatorname{Mod}Y) \Rightarrow$

$$\mathsf{Fu}_*\cong -\otimes_{\mathcal{A}(U)}\mathcal{F}_U$$

for some $\mathcal{F}_U \in {}_{\mathcal{A}(U)} \mathsf{Mod} Y$.

Theorem (Van den Bergh, Chan-N.)

The collection $F^{\flat}(U) = \mathcal{F}_U$ induces a functor

 $(-)^{\flat}: \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}\nolimits\mathcal{A},\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}\nolimits Y) o {}_{\mathcal{A}}\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}\nolimits Y$

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

< ∃⇒

Furthermore, if $F = \pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F})$ then $F^{\flat} \cong \mathcal{F}$.
Goals

Let $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$.



<ロ> <問> <問> < 国> < 国> < 国>

Goals

- Let $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$.
 - Find relationship between F and $\pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\flat})$

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

Goals

- Let $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$.
 - Find relationship between F and $\pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\flat})$
 - **2** Find necessary and sufficient conditions for $F \cong \pi_*(- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\flat})$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

 $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$ such that $F^{\flat} = 0$ are **totally global**

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

 $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$ such that $F^{\flat} = 0$ are **totally global**



< □ > < □ > < □ >

 $F \in \mathsf{Bimod}_k(\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A},\mathsf{Mod}Y)$ such that $F^\flat = 0$ are **totally global**



< □ > < □ > < □ >

 $F \in \mathsf{Bimod}_k(\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A},\mathsf{Mod}Y)$ such that $F^\flat = 0$ are **totally global**



- 4 回 🖌 - 4 目 🕨 - 4 目 🕨

Theorem (N-Smith, 2008)

$$k = \overline{k}, F \in \mathsf{Bimod}_k(\mathsf{Qcoh}\mathbb{P}^1,\mathsf{Mod}k).$$
 If

• F is totally global and

 $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}\nolimits\mathcal{A},\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}\nolimits Y)$ such that $F^\flat = 0$ are **totally global**



Theorem (N-Smith, 2008)

$$k = \overline{k}$$
, $F \in \mathsf{Bimod}_k(\mathsf{Qcoh}\mathbb{P}^1,\mathsf{Mod}k)$. If

- F is totally global and
- F preserves noetherian objects, then

 $F \in \mathsf{Bimod}_k(\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A},\mathsf{Mod}Y)$ such that $F^\flat = 0$ are **totally global**

e.g.
$$F = \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^1, -) \in \mathsf{Bimod}_k(\mathsf{Qcoh}\mathbb{P}^1, \mathsf{Mod}k)$$
 is totally global.

Theorem (N-Smith, 2008)

$$k = \overline{k}, F \in \mathsf{Bimod}_k(\mathsf{Qcoh}\mathbb{P}^1,\mathsf{Mod}k).$$
 If

- F is totally global and
- F preserves noetherian objects, then

$$F \cong \bigoplus_{i=m}^{\infty} \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^1, (-)(i))^{\oplus n_i}$$

Throughout $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$.

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

2

Throughout $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$.

Claim A

There is a natural transformation

$$\Gamma_F: F \to \pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\flat})$$

Throughout $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$.

Claim A

There is a natural transformation

$$\Gamma_F: F \to \pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\flat})$$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

such that ker Γ_F and cok Γ_F are totally global.

Throughout $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$.

Claim A There is a natural transformation $\Gamma_F: F \to \pi_*(- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\flat})$ such that ker Γ_F and cok Γ_F are totally global.

It follows that Γ_F is an isomorphism if

Throughout $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$.

Claim A

There is a natural transformation

$$\Gamma_F: F \to \pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\flat})$$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

such that ker Γ_F and cok Γ_F are totally global.

It follows that Γ_F is an isomorphism if

X is affine or

Throughout $F \in \operatorname{Bimod}_k(\operatorname{Mod}\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Mod}Y)$.

Claim A

There is a natural transformation

$$\Gamma_F: F \to \pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\flat})$$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

such that ker Γ_F and cok Γ_F are totally global.

It follows that Γ_F is an isomorphism if

X is affine or

Is exact

Remarks:

Adam Nyman

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ 国 ト ・ 国 ト

Claim A confirmed in case A = O_X and Y = scheme (N 2009)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- Claim A confirmed in case A = O_X and Y = scheme (N 2009)
- Claim A 1 confirmed (N-Smith 2008)

- Claim A confirmed in case A = O_X and Y = scheme (N 2009)
- Claim A 1 confirmed (N-Smith 2008)
- Solution Office Claim A 2 confirmed in case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_X$ (Chan-N 2009)

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- Claim A confirmed in case A = O_X and Y = scheme (N 2009)
- Claim A 1 confirmed (N-Smith 2008)
- Solution A 2 confirmed in case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_X$ (Chan-N 2009)
- Test Problem: Classify noetherian preserving *F* ∈ Bimod_k(Qcohℙ¹, Modk) in case k = k̄.

(4回) (4回) (4回)

Easy fact: F totally global \Leftrightarrow Fu_{*} = 0 \forall open affine $u : U \rightarrow X$.

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Easy fact: F totally global \Leftrightarrow Fu_{*} = 0 \forall open affine $u : U \rightarrow X$.

• X affine \Rightarrow id_X is inclusion of affine open

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Easy fact: F totally global \Leftrightarrow $Fu_* = 0 \forall$ open affine $u : U \rightarrow X$.

• X affine \Rightarrow id_X is inclusion of affine open

• ker
$$\Gamma_F = \ker \Gamma_F \circ \operatorname{id}_{X*}$$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Easy fact: F totally global \Leftrightarrow Fu_{*} = 0 \forall open affine $u : U \rightarrow X$.

- X affine \Rightarrow id_X is inclusion of affine open
- ker Γ_F = ker $\Gamma_F \circ id_{X*}$
- Since ker Γ_F is totally global,

Easy fact: F totally global \Leftrightarrow Fu_{*} = 0 \forall open affine $u : U \rightarrow X$.

- X affine \Rightarrow id_X is inclusion of affine open
- ker $\Gamma_F = \ker \Gamma_F \circ \operatorname{id}_{X*}$
- Since ker Γ_F is totally global, ker $\Gamma_F \circ id_{X*} = 0$.

Easy fact: F totally global \Leftrightarrow $Fu_* = 0 \forall$ open affine $u : U \rightarrow X$.

- X affine \Rightarrow id_X is inclusion of affine open
- ker $\Gamma_F = \ker \Gamma_F \circ \operatorname{id}_{X*}$
- Since ker Γ_F is totally global, ker $\Gamma_F \circ id_{X*} = 0$.
- Similarly, $\operatorname{cok} \Gamma_F = 0$.

• $\mathfrak{U} = finite affine open cover of X$

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

- $\mathfrak{U} = finite affine open cover of X$
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶

2

- $\mathfrak{U} = finite affine open cover of X$
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$
- $d: C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M}) \to C^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M})$ diff. of sheafified Cech complex

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- $\mathfrak{U} = finite affine open cover of X$
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$
- $d: C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M}) \to C^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M})$ diff. of sheafified Cech complex

Claim B

$$\Gamma_F: F o \pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\flat})$$
 is an isomorphism iff

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン ・ヨン

- $\mathfrak{U} = finite affine open cover of X$
- $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$
- $d: C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M}) \to C^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M})$ diff. of sheafified Cech complex

Claim B

- $\Gamma_F: F \to \pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\flat})$ is an isomorphism iff
 - the canonical map $F(\ker d) \to \ker(Fd)$ is an isomorphism for all flat \mathcal{M} .

- $\mathfrak{U} = finite affine open cover of X$
- $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$
- $d: C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M}) \to C^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M})$ diff. of sheafified Cech complex

Claim B

- $\Gamma_F: F \to \pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\flat})$ is an isomorphism iff
 - the canonical map F(ker d) → ker(Fd) is an isomorphism for all flat M.

・ 国 と ・ 国 と ・ 国 と

2)
$$\pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathsf{F}^{lat})$$
 is right exact

- $\mathfrak{U} = finite affine open cover of X$
- $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$
- $d: C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M}) \to C^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{M})$ diff. of sheafified Cech complex

Claim B

- $\Gamma_F: F \to \pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\flat})$ is an isomorphism iff
 - the canonical map $F(\ker d) \to \ker(Fd)$ is an isomorphism for all flat \mathcal{M} .

2
$$\pi_*(-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathsf{F}^{\flat})$$
 is right exact

Claim B confirmed in case $A = O_X$ and Y = scheme (N 2009)

Thank you for your attention!